**Monitoring the Future Project**

**Intra-Group Evaluation/Reflection**

Name: Juan Villegas

Group Members: Dakota Curtis, Jordan Maduas, Olivia Rovalino

*Please answer the following questions honestly and provide as much written comments as possible. Your evaluations will impact the grades of other members of your group.*

**Rate your own contribution to this project:**

**4: Excellent 3: Good 2: Satisfactory 1: Poor**

What was your major contribution to the project?

I did what I was assigned… I was given 2 variables to make graphical displays on and write its analysis. Other than that, I revised the table of contents.

**Please evaluate each member of your group.**

**Evaluate the group members' contribution using the following number scale:**

**4: Excellent 3: Good 2: Satisfactory 1: Poor**

Name of group member being evaluated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Dakota\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Did this group member contribute to the project in a substantive way? 4 3 2 1

2. Did you find this member to be reliable? 4 3 2 1

3. Did this member listen and respect input from other members? 4 3 2 1

4. Did this member stay on task during group meetings? 4 3 2 1

5. Additional Comments:

I felt like Dakota took charge of most of the project. I was annoyed with the fact that this kind of became his project and he did most of the work… I wanted to have a bigger role in this but, he took most of the project into his own hands.

Name of group member being evaluated: \_\_\_\_Olivia\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Did this group member contribute to the project in a substantive way? 4 3 2 1

2. Did you find this member to be reliable? 4 3 2 1

3. Did this member listen and respect input from other members? 4 3 2 1

4. Did this member stay on task during group meetings? 4 3 2 1

5. Additional Comments:

Olivia had very good communication throughout the project. She was reliable and did her part. After Dakota, I think Olivia had the 2nd biggest role for the group. She communicated the most with Dakota and helped the group stay onto of things.

Name of group member being evaluated: \_\_\_\_\_Jordan\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Did this group member contribute to the project in a substantive way? 4 3 2 1

2. Did you find this member to be reliable? 4 3 2 1

3. Did this member listen and respect input from other members? 4 3 2 1

4. Did this member stay on task during group meetings? 4 3 2 1

5. Additional Comments:

I feel like Jordan and I had the least amount of contribution to the group. Jordan did what he was told to do but sometimes seemed unreliable due to his lack of communication/leaving things last minute. I can’t complain because he finished what he was assigned.

**Reflection**: Write a one to two page reflection that captures the essence of how this project was completed.

* Describe what you contributed to this project and what each of your group members contributed to the project.
* Did you encounter any stumbling blocks? (**You should have a lot to say about this** – you are the only class that has had to complete their technical reports in an online environment.) If so, how did you resolve the problem?
* Reflect on the statistical techniques used in the project – how did you apply what you had learned in class to your research for this project.
* Feel free to include what you liked/disliked about the project. And so forth . . . .

When it comes to what I did to contribute to this group project: I was very obedient when it came to doing what I was assigned. This project was mostly led by Dakota b& his ideas and what he wanted to do so I didn’t bother giving much of an input in what I wanted to do. I didn’t have much interest in what we were researching so I did whatever I was told to do. I always finished everything that was assigned to me in a reasonable amount of time so that I could ask my groupmates if there was anything else I could be of use for… I felt useless for a majority of the project because usually I play big roles in projects but, I realized I was just going to have to play my part. When it comes to Dakota, he was essentially the leader of this group. He’s the one that came up with the research question and had the, “This is what I’m doing, if you follow me good if you don’t then whatever” mindset. He did most of the critical thinking and guided us on what he wanted his vision to come out like. He played a leadership role and a big role in getting things done the way he wanted them to be done. When it comes to Olivia, she played a vital role as well because she would relay back to me and Jordan what Dakota’s vision was and tell us what to do specifically. On top of all these things that I mentioned, Dakota, Olivia, and I all made graphical displays and wrote analysis. Dakota and Olivia took initiative in writing things like the methodology and other stuff. While I took initiative in doing the simple things like, the table of contents and cover page. Finally, when it came to Jordan, he had the more grammatical job. We had initially given him some tasks that where similar to what Dakota, Oliva, and I did but, we realized that when it came to R code, Jordan was not as reliable. We ended up shortening the number of variables we used so that he wouldn’t have to make any displays but, from what I read on our IMessage group chat, Jordan played a vital role in making our paper readable. According to him, he went through our paper and made sure that grammatically there where not a lot of issues and that it was understandable to read.

When it came to stumbling blocks, the biggest one was when I got covid. Meeting with each other became a bigger hurdle. Around this time, I didn’t have the energy to care enough to listen to what we had to say in our meetings because I was simply uninterested in the project and was simply trying to survive through covid. Other than for the time that I got covid, I don’t think that there where a ton of other hurdles. Those 2 weeks of not being able to communicate with my groupmates face to face made it hard for me to know what was going on but, we made it through.

All the statistical techniques we used in our project we learned from class. For example, knowing how to pick a variable to study (Seeing if the p-value showed significance), knowing how to write the analysis of our graphical displays, knowing how to use the correct row/column percentages. Everything we learned in this class was a preparation for this project. This project connected everything we learned into one big written report.

To conclude from this, I enjoyed that this group project was nicely spaced out, it didn’t seem excessive or give me a ton of stress and I enjoyed the fact that it allowed me to better get to know other students in my class. Some of the things that I didn’t enjoy was the lack of freedom when it came to choosing this project (we only had MTF data to choose from).